

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,589	08/06/2003	Charles Richard Jolly	JD29/01 8561	
7590 09/28/2004		EXAMINER		
Edward P. Dutkiewicz P.O. Box 511			YEAGLEY, DANIEL S	
Largo, FL 33	779-0511		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3611	
			DATE MAIL ED: 09/28/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/635,589	JOLLY, CHARLES RICHARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel Yeagley	3611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timent of thirty (30) days within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	ugust 2003.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	·			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	· '			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." However, the examiner has considered the references cited in the specification.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include any of the reference signs (numerals) mentioned in the description. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 13, line 16, the second occurrence of the word "intermediate" should be changed to --forward--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3611

Claim Objections

4. It is noted that applicant has presented the broadest claims (i.e. claims 6-7) at the end of the claims section. Applicant is reminded that 37 CFR §1.75 (g) states that the least restrictive claim should be presented first. See also MPEP 608.01(m). "Claims should preferably be arranged in order of scope so that the first claim presented is the least restrictive."

- 5. Claim 2, last line, the term "the forward section" is objected to because it lacks proper antecedent basis"
- 6. Claim 3, last line is objected to because it appears incomplete.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3, line 3 and line 4, the terms "each lower piece" are objected to because they lack antecedent basis

Regarding claim 4, line 6, the term "the rail" lacks sufficient antecedent basis because it is unclear which rail applicant is referencing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3611

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fredriksson et al '997.

Fredriksson shows a collapsible boat trailer (figure 6) having a forward and rearward portion that include upper surfaces, wherein the forward portion is coupled to the rearward portion by a hinge mechanism (figure 2) having a three dimensional pivoting component that allows the upper surface of the one portion to be folded upon the upper surface of the other portion of the trailer a broadly claimed.

11. Claims 6 – 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Albert '898.

Albert shows a collapsible trailer that is capable of hauling a boat, wherein the collapsible trailer comprises a forward portion with an upper surface coupled to a rearward portion with an upper surface by a hinge mechanism having a three dimensional pivoting component allowing the upper surface of the forward portion to be folded upon the upper surface of the rearward portion of the trailer which includes a locking component 64 a broadly claimed (column 2-4).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claim 1 is allowed.
- 13. Claims 1 5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objections and rejections, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 3611

14. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: none of the prior art found alone or in combination shows a collapsible boat trailer having angled forward rails pivotally coupled to angled intermediate rails of a forward section of the trailer having a pair of hinge assemblies that include the combination of features as distinctively claimed.

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kelly '836, Finlayson et al '418, Lovendahl '264, Hoffmann '799, Wright '316 and Guio '209 show various collapsible boat trailers.

Stone '388 and Hedman '394 show a trailer with angled intermediate rails pivotally coupled to angled forward rails.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Yeagley whose telephone number is 703-305-0838. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri; first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley D Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,589

Art Unit: 3611

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D.Y.

ESLEY D. MORRIS

PATENT EXAMINER

OF THE PATENT EXAMINER